

COPY OF PA  
ORIGINALLY FILED

2664  
#3  
BT  
4.602

Attorney Docket No.: ASPN 1003-1

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.6  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on March 5, 2002.

Amy Jonsson  
Amy Jonsson  
Signature Date: March 5, 2002

COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Inventor(s): MARTIN LYSEJKO et al.

Appl. No.: .09/942,790

Filed: 29 August 2001

Title: Transfer of Data In A Telecommunications System

Art Unit: 2664

Examiner: Unassigned

Customer No. 22470

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.56

Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED  
MAR 20 2002  
Technology Center 2600

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

**Enclosed with this statement are the following:**

- ✓ Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- ✓ A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an information disclosure statement submitted in the parent application which complies with the Sept. 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP

Application No. 09/942,790

§609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).

— **PTA Statement under 37 C.F.R. §704(d).** Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

***This statement should be considered because:***

X **37 C.F.R. §1.97(b).** This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);  
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;  
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,  
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

✓ **Fee Authorization.** The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 50-0869. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP

Date: 5 March 2002


By:   
Mark A. Haynes, Reg. No. 30,846

HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP  
P.O. Box 366  
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019  
Telephone: 650-712-0340  
Facsimile: 650-712-0263

**COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED**

Sheet 1 of 1

Form PTO-1449 (Substitute)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Attorney Docket Number <b>ASPN 1003-1</b>	Serial/Patent Number <b>09/942,790</b>
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		Applicant/Patent Owner <b>MARTIN LYSEJKO et al.</b>	
		Filing/Issue Date <b>29 August 2001</b>	Group Art Unit <b>2664</b>



U.S. PATENTS							
Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	First Named Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
		5,828,695	10/27/98	Webb	375	219	06/02/92
		5,699,364	12/16/97	Sato et al.	371	5.5	03/15/96

PENDING U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS					
Examiner Initial		Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Inventor	Petition to Expunge? Yes   No

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initial		Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Trans- lation Yes   No
		WO 00 41318 A	07/13/00	WO			X
		WO 99 18685 A	04/15/99	WO			X

**RECEIVED**  
**MAR 20 2002**  
**Technology Center 2600**

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Date Considered</b>
<p><b>*EXAMINER:</b> Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.</p>	
<p>*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN <u>      </u> / <u>      </u>, filed <u>      </u>, 20<u>      </u>, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p>	
<p>*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN <u>      </u> / <u>      </u>, filed <u>      </u>, 20<u>      </u>, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p>	